

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,252	10/06/2005	Peter Schmollngruber	10191/3819 8309	
26646 KENYON & K	7590 05/30/2007 XENYON LLP		EXAMINER	
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			LEDYNH, BOT L	
NEW YORK,	N Y 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2862	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		05/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/523,252	SCHMOLLNGRUBER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bot LeDynh	2862				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  iiii apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONFI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c				
Status .						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/27/	2007					
_	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the	merits is			
	d in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	The state of the s	0.0.2.0.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-18</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/27/ 07	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Kowalski et al (20020118013) or Muth et al (5602471) in view of Wan (2003/0231098). Either Kowalski et al or Muth et al discloses substantially the same invention as claimed (e.g., eight MR elements in a rotationally symmetrical positioning and connected to each other to form two Wheatstone full bridges, interleaved, strip form, orthogonal, etc.). However, both Kowalski et al and Muth et al do not disclose that the eight MR elements are GMR elements. Wan (2003/0231098) discloses that the resistance change and sensitivity caused by GMR elements are much greater than those of MR or AMR. See Wan's paragraph 0004. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify either Kowalski et al or Muth et al by using GMR material to manufacture the eight MR elements as taught by Wan (2003/0231098) in order to have an angle sensor having great resistive and sensitive effects for detecting the angle position of rotatable elements. As to claim 12, it is inherent that the measured angle of an outer magnetic field with respect to a magnetization of a reference layer in such angle sensors of Kowalski et al or Muth et al

Art Unit: 2862

in view of Wan is over 360 degrees (i.e., measurement beyond one complete turn). As to claim 16, absent of the criticality of the shape of the eight GMR (i.e., the criticality between an octagonal shape and an circular shape), the arrangement of the circular position of the eight GMR is a matter of design choice, such as for aesthetic purposes or for conforming with the circular shape of the sensor package.

Claims 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kowalski et al (20020118013) or Muth et al (5602471) in view of Wan (2003/0231098) as applied to claims 1-13 and 16 above, and further in view of either Schroeder et al (6566867) or Lin et al (6519549). Either Kowalski et al (20020118013) or Muth et al (5602471) in view of Wan (2003/0231098) disclose substantially the same invention as claimed, except for the use of the GMR sensor elements in an angle sensor for detecting an absolute position of a crankshaft or in a steering system, etc. Schroeder et al discloses an angle sensor for detecting an absolute position of crankshaft (see col. 1, lines 17-19). Lin et al discloses an angle sensor for detecting an absolute position of a steering system (see col.3, lines 7-27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify either Kowalski et al or Muth et al in view of Wan as applied to claims 1-13 and 16 above by using the GMR sensor in an angle sensor in order to detect an absolute position of a crankshaft or using it in a steering system to detect the position of rotating elements. As to claims 18, absent of the criticality of the shape of the eight GMR (i.e., the criticality between an octagonal shape and an circular shape), the arrangement of the circular position of the eight GMR

Page 4

is a matter of design choice, such as for aesthetic purposes or for conforming with the circular shape of the sensor package.

Although specific columns, figures, reference numerals, lines of the reference(s), etc. have been referred to, Applicant should consider the entire applied prior art reference(s).

Claims 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kowalski et al (20020118013) or Muth et al (5602471) in view of Wan (2003/0231098) and further in view of either Schroeder et al (6566867) or Lin et al (6519549) as applied to claims 14-15 and 17 above and still further in view of Doescher (2002/0149358). Kowalski et al or Muth et al in view of Wan and further in view of either Schroeder et al or Lin et al disclose substantially the same invention as claimed except for the eight GMR elements being circularly positioned. It is noted that the Applicant does not show a drawing of the sensor in a circular shape and the eight elements sensor shape of Doescher (2002/0149358) is substantially circular on a square substrate (see Fig.2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify either Kowalski et al or Muth et al in view of Wan and Schroeder et al (or Lin et al) by employing the circular shape of the sensor elements of Doescher (2002/0149358) for the sensor elements to be symmetrical on a square substrate.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/523,252

Art Unit: 2862

Page 5

Van Den Berg (6313627) is cited for the use of GMR in an angle sensor, and other references for the use of angle sensors in motor vehicle.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Bot LeDynh whose telephone number is 5712722231. The Examiner normally does not work on Fridays. The examiner can normally be reached on Maxiflex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 5712722180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BL/ 2007

Bot LeDynh, J.D., Ph.D., D.A.

Primary Examiner